IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 12603 of 2004

Between:

Satti Subbanarasaih, S/o. Prasadam,
R/o. 16-1239 Masapeta, Akula Street, Kadapa.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector,' Kadapa District, Kadapa.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kadapa.
3 Mandal Revenue Officer, C.K. Dinne, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction and more particularly on in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS Directing the Respondents to take action on Petitioner's Appeal before the 2nd Respondent as also on the representation dated 8-5-2003 to the Hon'ble Revenue Minister and pass such further or other orders deemed just and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MRTSUBHASH REDDY

Counsel for Respondents: The Government Pleader for Revenue

The Court at the admission stage made the following Order:

-

-

-

-

-

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 12603 OF 2004

ORDER:-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing on behalf of the respondents.

The case of the petitioner herein is that he was allotted by way of assignment the land of an extent of Ac.4-92 cents situate in S.No.848/2 of C.K.Dinne Revenue Village and 'D' Form Patta No.1195 dated 29-11-1968 was also issued to him. It is his further case that without making any enquiry, a notice dated 3-6-2000 has been pasted to his house to the effect that the assignment of the land in question in his favour has been cancelled. He further stated that he filed a civil Suit, which was dismissed and the appeal filed by him also met the same fate. In the meantime, he was advised to file appeal before the second respondent against the orders of third

respondent and accordingly he filed, but so far no action has been taken by the second respondent. Therefore, he filed a representation on 8-5-2003 to the Government, which was sent to the second respondent.

Having heard the counsel on either side and also on perusal of the material on record, it is seen that the appeal as well as the representation of the petitioner are pending before the second respondent and in view of the same, it is not necessary to go into the merits of the case. Suffice it to direct the second respondent to dispose of the same.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage itself directing the second respondent to consider and dispose of the appeal as well as the representation of the petitioner on merits in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

21-07-2004

Kdl

To

1 The District Collector,' Kadapa District, Kadapa.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kadapa.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, C.K. Dinne, Kadapa District.

4 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

A.P., Hyderabad, (OUT).

5 Two C.D. Copies.